

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/630,873	07	//31/2003	Keisuke Ban	N45-160780M/FK 9085		
5	7590	02/20/2004		EXAMINER		
McGuireWoo	ods LLP		LIN, KUANG Y			
Suite 1800 1750 Tysons Boulevard				ART UNIT	T UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
McLean, VA 22102				1725		
			DATE MAN ED 02/20/2004			

DATE MAILED: 02/20/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.		Applicant(s)				
	10/630,873	E	BAN ET AL.	NO			
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
	Kuang Y. Lin	1	1725				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sh	eet with the cor	respondence a	ddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however y within the statutory minimu will apply and will expire SIX	may a reply be timely m of thirty (30) days w (6) MONTHS from the come ABANDONED	y filed vill be considered tim e mailing date of this (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.			
Status							
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 July 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under Exercise. 	action is non-final. nce except for forma			ne merits is			
Disposition of Claims		•					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 5-11 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 5-11 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from considerati						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 2.	cepted or b) object drawing(s) be held in tion is required if the c	abeyance. See (Irawing(s) is obje	37 CFR 1.85(a). cted to. See 37				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 10/105,377. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s) 1) ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/31/03 & 9/25/03.	, — Pa 5)	terview Summary (l aper No(s)/Mail Dat otice of Informal Pa ther:	e	PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/630,873

Art Unit: 1725

- 1. Applicant is advised that the "cross reference to related applications" is erroneous in that this application is a continuation application of the parent application S.N. 10/105,377 since there is no restriction in the parent application. Correction is required. Applicant further advised that the translation for JP 03-230,843 had not been received by the Office.
- 2. Claims 5-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is not clear what the "**stepped** feeder head portion" and where the antecedent basis in the specification is.

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 5,6,10 and 11 insofar as definite are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by either Thomes or Mezger.

In figure 1 and 2 of Thomes they show that runners 6 have a small diameter than the feeder 7. In figure 2 of Mezger it shows a runner between the feeder head 7 (sprue) which has a smaller diameter than the feeder 8. Also, second runner under the feeder head 6 (sprue) is connected directly to the cavity 4 without providing a feeder 8.

Application/Control Number: 10/630,873

Art Unit: 1725

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 7. Claims 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either Thomes or Mezger and further in view of applicant's admitted prior art. It would have been obvious to made the mold of the primary reference with metallic material and coated the same with a coating agent for enhancing the flowing property of the molten metal in view of the admitted prior art.
- 8. Claim 7 insofar as definite is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Thomes or Mezger and further in view of Mclear.

It would have been obvious to provide the runner entry with a cover in the mold of the primary references to facilitate the molten metal pouring process in view of Mclean.

Application/Control Number: 10/630,873

Art Unit: 1725

9. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

10. Claims 5-11 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-9 and 19-34 of copending application No. 10/115,141 or claims 1-35 of copending application No. 10/166,743 or claims 3-5, and 16,17 of copending application 10/097,483 or claims 1,3-10,20,21,23-31of copending application No. 09/852,267 or claims 1-3, 5-15, and 18-26 of copending application No. 09/828,875. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claimed disclosure of the copending applications discloses the invention as claimed. Applicants are advised that a certain degree of turbulent flow always occurs during pouring process, though the degree of the turbulent in the copending application is not the same as that of instant application.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Application/Control Number: 10/630,873 Page 5

Art Unit: 1725

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kuang Y. Lin whose telephone number is 571-272-1179. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 10:00-6:30,.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas X Dunn can be reached on 571-272-1171. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kuang Y. Lin Primary Examiner Art Unit 1725